

# **Preparing Entangled Photons for Experiments in Quantum Optics**

## Introduction

*Entangled photon pairs* are a fundamental resource for quantum optics & information research. To produce them in the lab, we use **Spontaneous Parametric** Down-Conversion (SPDC) [1].





Inside a nonlinear crystal, a high-energy pump photon essentially decays into two lower-energy photons, conserving energy and momentum (*phase-matching conditions*). The output is *entangled* in multiple degrees of freedom: time & frequency, space & momentum. We can calculate its precise shape from the phase-matching equations, and derive results like those below.

**Two-photon Interference** *Let's put our photon pairs to the test!* What happens when two single photons enter a 50/50 beam-splitter? Polarization We might expect one of 4 outcomes (*T*: transmitted, *R*: reflected)... control R-T <u>input</u>  $|1\rangle_a |1\rangle_b$ ...with equal probability. But instead, we observe photons "bunching" Relative together, always exiting the same way! How?  $\Delta t$ This is not "bunching," but destructive interference between *indistinguishable alternatives* to a detection event [2]. We can see this in a simple Fock-state model: T-R and R-T amplitudes cancel! BBO <u>UV pump</u>: 407 nm CW – or – 390 nm pulsed The interfering terms both result in a *coincident count*. If detectors fire simulataneously, we have no *distinguishing information* between these possibilities (T-R or R-T). Distinguishability destroys interference, and could come from differences in frequency, polarization, timing (relative delay), etc. **Experiment:** (**1**, **0**) We vary the relative delay between the Non-collinear Type I Tuning Curve photons by  $\Delta t$ , and count coincidences  $R_c$ . **ear** (Type I)  $\Psi = 30.5^{\circ}$  $\Delta t$ decreases indistinguishability increases  $R_{c}$ decreases • 813 ± 10 nm The shape of this "dip" depends on the filter 810 + 20 nm bandwidth  $\sigma$ . For gaussian filters [3]: tal polarization  $\sigma_{FWHM}=11.5$  nm  $\sigma_{FWHM}=26~{
m nm}$ oolarization ector At the right, deviations between data and -200 -400 0.8 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.9 1.0 on mode fits can be attributed to non-gaussian filters. ∆t (fs)  $\lambda$  ( $\mu$ m) 30° A tuning curve shows output angle as a function of References wavelength. Degenerate pairs ( $\lambda = 780$  nm) exit



## **SPDC Output**

	a <b>t" Cones</b> (Type II)	R	<b>Collinear</b> (Type II)	ſ	Non-colline
			$\bigotimes$		Ф ×
					- horizont - vertical
Optic Axis					<ul> <li>A pullip ve</li> <li>C - collection</li> </ul>
Angle:	$\Psi = 44^{\circ}$		$\Psi = 43.5^{\circ}$		Ψ=

Correlated parts of the Type-I ring are focused into single-mode (SM) fibers, then directed through interference filters (IF) and finally single-photon detectors  $D_1 \& D_2$ . A pair registers as a coincident count, measured by the time-to-digital converter (TDC). We measure rates on the order of 100 pairs/sec per mW of pump power, with 10 nm of bandwidth. Phase-matching types: *Type I -* output photons have the <u>same</u> polarization **Type II -** they have <u>orthogonal</u> polarization

BBO can be used for either, depending on crystal orientation (OA angle). Output cross-sections are shown above for *degenerate* SPDC (V-polarized 390 nm pump). Type-II pairs (Fig. A & B) are also entangled in polarization.

**Cory M. Nunn** Advisor: Dr. Todd B. Pittman Contact: cnunn1@umbc.edu, todd.pittman@umbc.edu

symmetrically ( $\theta = \pm 3.5^{\circ}$ ). If we are interested in non-degenerate pairs, the above plot says they mostly lie outside the Type-I degenerate ring (Fig. C).





$$1\rangle_{a}|1\rangle_{b} = \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{b}^{\dagger}|0\rangle_{a}|0\rangle_{b} \xrightarrow{\text{BS}} \frac{1}{2}(\hat{a}^{\dagger}+i\hat{b}^{\dagger})(i\hat{a}^{\dagger}+\hat{b}^{\dagger})|0\rangle_{a}|0\rangle_{b} \xrightarrow{\text{Zero!}} \frac{1}{2}[i(\hat{a}^{\dagger})^{2}+\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{b}^{\dagger}-\hat{b}^{\dagger}\hat{a}^{\dagger}+i(\hat{b}^{\dagger})^{2}]|0\rangle_{a}|0\rangle_{b}$$

$$R_c \propto 1 - e^{-(\sigma \Delta t)^2/2}$$



[1] Yanhua Shih, "Entangled Biphoton Source – Propoerty and Preparation," Rep. Prog. Phys. 66 1009 (2003) [2] T. B. Pittman, D. V. Strekalov, A. Migdall, M. H. Rubin, A. V. Sergienko, and Y. H. Shih, "Can two-photon interference be considered the interference of two photons?" Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1917 (1996)

[3] C. K. Hong, Z. Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, "Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by interference," Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2044 (1987)

